

# COUNCIL ON CURRICULAR PROGRAMS AND INSTRUCTION

Thursday, 10 February 2022

Via Zoom, 3:30 p.m.

## MINUTES

**In Attendance via Zoom:** S. Bennett, B. Birnbaum, P. Goodwin, H. Hemphill, H. Kamminga-Peck, J. McQuillan, F. Tasdan, K. Zellmann

**Ex-Officio:** S. Van Dyke, M. Mossman

**Members Absent:** C. Blankenship C. Davis

**Guests:** L. Prorise, K. Daytner, Sam Thompson, Lorette Oden

- I. Consideration of Minutes
  - A. 27 January 2022
- II. Announcements
  - A. Bennett: On Tuesday's Faculty Senate agenda, we had one thing on the table which was the Physics thing, and it was tabled, and it was partially my fault. I thought I forwarded all the information, but an hour before the meeting they said they didn't have the feasibility study or the two new course requests. One senator wanted to talk more about eliminating the upper division Gen Ed; that will come up at the next Faculty Senate meeting.
- III. Old Business
  - A. No old business
- IV. New Business
  - A. Curricular Request from the Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and GISciences
    1. Request for Change in Prerequisite
      - a. GIS 402 – Advanced Cartography, 3 s.h.  
GIS 403 – Advanced Remote Sensing, 3 s.h.  
GIS 404 – Advanced Quantitative Methods and Applications in GIS, 3 s.h.  
GIS 407 – Social Applications of GIS, 3 s.h.  
GIS 408 – Environmental Applications of GIS, 3 s.h.  
GIS 410 – Applied GIScience, 3 s.h.
        - i. **Motion to Bundle: Hemphill; Second: Birnbaum**
          - i. **Vote: Ayes: 8; Nays: 0; Abstain: 0**
        - ii. **Motion to approve: Hemphill; Second: Birnbaum**
        - iii. Thompson: GIS 309 came about as a gap course between GIS 202 and the 400-level courses, so when GIS 309 became operational, we were able to add it as a prereq for GIS 405. Somewhere along the way, we forgot to make it a prereq for the remaining courses; the catalogue wasn't



- i. Oden: Correct. The additional courses facilitate movement into the MBA.
  - ii. Bennett: Marketing Principles is removed, but the rest stay the same.
- d. Prosis: I have some corrections to the semester hours columns: in the core, it should be 51-53 in both the existing and proposed columns. In the total hours, in existing it should be 130-132, and proposed 127-135. I can show you how I got those numbers if you want to see it. [shared screen] I did a logic tree sequence for the two options; there are 8 different ways a student can complete this degree. Depending on which econ they take, it affects their potential Gen Eds, which leads to a total of 120-123 there. Gen Ed overlap should be 15, and then in the proposed, it should be 9-15. The 120 is right for existing, line c, but in proposed it should be 120-123. I have some other minor things, which Lorette and I spoke about earlier. Because in Line A it shows we require more than 120 hours, we don't need to show any open electives, because realistically there are none. But I recommend that in proposed we say n/a and remove "0-1" from the catalogue. Another issue, we currently have a choice of either zoology sequence or kinesiology sequence in the core, but those shouldn't be in the core – they are directed electives because it's either this or that. [shared screen] Move the choice to directed electives, then list out the other required courses in A-G. List the directed electives at "minimum 15 s.h." instead of offering a range. With all of these changes to the catalogue copy in mind, I don't see the point of having Lorette submitting a new form, it's just a change in layout, not content. We do need a new form with the revised numbers.
- e. Van Dyke: the symbol for the New Course is the one used for courses that are listed as Gen Ed. Page 2, Micro 200, page 3, ECON 231, 232 and STAT 171 should have the different symbol.
- i. Hemphill: It looks like it's left over from the original.
- f. **Vote: Ayes: 8; Nays: 0; Abstain: 0**
- i. Bennett: Lorette, if you could send me the discussed changes, then I will put our date on it and submit it to Senate so it should be on the agenda on the 22<sup>nd</sup>.

C. Review of Minor Change form for Cross-Listing Courses

1. Bennett: This came out of our last meeting, for requests to cross-list courses, adding it to the "minor changes" list. Linda came up with a form.
2. Prosis: I can't say I'm happy with it the way it is, so I'd like as much feedback as possible. Someone mentioned the possibility that departments might want to take a course in different directions and make significant changes, so that would have to come through CCPI. So that's the second sentence in the underlined directions. The current form

includes all the information I need, but I welcome suggestions for

- iv. McQuillan: They would have to change their catalogue descriptions at that point.
- v. Prosis: Yes, and that would have to come through CCPI, I can't make that decisi